

David Eck  
November 3, 2020

Below are two standards/reasons for cross-listing a program in two different Interest Areas. The standards are meant to be the only ones. These are just the two that I have encountered in talking to faculty and thinking about the different programs.

1. Are there significant differences in CSU and UC pathways?
  - Example: As explained by Professor Roscelli, economics majors have very different pathways for CSUs and UCs. Economics in CSUs are business-oriented, while economics majors at UCs are aligned with the social sciences. Professor Roscelli shares that this difference is significant enough that students applying for the two different systems should have some significant differences in which courses that are best of taking. Competitive applications to UCs should have more advanced mathematics courses with much less emphasis on Business, and *vice versa* with economics students who plan to transfer to a CSU.
  - Having economics cross-listed in both Interest Areas would provide a visual signifier of this important difference by showing how varied the discipline and program of study is.
  
2. Would not cross-listing a course lead to potentially significant student confusion or lack of awareness about a program?
  - Example: currently the Paralegal Studies is in the Business Interest Area, while the Law, Public Policy, and Society AA-T is in Human Behavior and Culture. The split makes sense given the paths each degree leads into. But any student interested in one of these programs could very likely be interested in the other program. By separating them in different Interest Areas, a student could easily think the Paralegal Studies was the only law-focused degree offered at our college or conversely with a student who was considering the Law, Public Policy, and Society degree.